

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/687,139	10/12/2000	John J. Sie	19281-001100US	1027
20350	7590 09/29/2003			
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER EIGHTH FLOOR			EXAMINER	
			BUI, KIEU	OANH T
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-38			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2611	
			DATE MAILED: 09/29/2003	U

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/687,139	SIE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	KIEU-OANH T BUI	2611			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a relif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	1.136(a). In no event, however, may eply within the statutory minimum of the dwill apply and will expire SIX (6) Moute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	·				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ ⁻	This action is non-final.				
Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application	on.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	rawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	an priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	· ,				
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.	·			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
 a) The translation of the foreign language p 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome. 	• •				
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice o	v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 03/24/02 was filed after the mailing date of the application 09/687,139 on 10/12/00. The submission is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 1-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hendricks et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,734,853).

Regarding claim 1, Hendricks discloses "a method for distributing programming" (Fig. 1, and col. 6/lines 20-48 for a cable delivering system offers to distribute programming), the method comprising:

"transmitting a first set of program segments according to a schedule of programming", i.e., a first set of program segments transmitting from the headend according to a schedule of programming can be selected by a main menu and then a submenu displaying to a user (see Figs. 22a & 22b, the user can select one program "Discovery channel choice" from a plurality of available programs in Figure 22a, and in Fig. 22b as a program segment of "War birds", not an

entire program, can be displaying as a preview to the user with its schedule programming time at 9:30PM, see col. 38/line 36 to col. 39/line 8 for an option to preview a portion of the program; Fig. 12e and col. 31/lines 30-45 for a preview technique addressed);

"storing a second set of program segments on a server, at least one of the first set of program segments having a counterpart in the second set of program segments", i.e., the corresponding program related to the first program segment (regarding as "a counterpart") which stored on a server will be displaying to the user as the user has an option to order that program or having more information such as advertising or related information about that program segment (col. 39/lines 9-24), and this information is stored in a server (or storage) within the cable headend 208 for local programming and local advertisements (Fig. 3, col. 10/lines 6-15) and then transmitted by the program control information signal to the storage at the set top terminal (col. 14/lines 47-64 for a sample of video clip for advertisement for the program or any other program, menu or product information can be sent and stored in the set top terminal, col. 14/line 65 to col. 15/line 38);

"maintaining a database that records user authorizations to program segments on the server; detecting a request from a user for program control of a particular program segment; and determining whether to grant program control to the user", i.e., either the server 208 includes a network controller 214 for monitoring and detecting the user requests as well as keeping track of records in authorization or granting program control of program segments on the server or storage of the headend for billing purposes (col. 10/line 23 to col. 11/line 9) or the set top terminal served as the server containing data, detecting, tracking programs that keeps track the

user records and reports all of these data to cable headend via an upstream link (col. 17/lines 24-38).

As for claim 2, in view of claim 1, Hendricks further discloses wherein determining whether to grant program control to the user comprises "identifying the particular program segment; and determining whether the particular program segment has a counterpart stored on the server", i.e., identifiers are assigned to programs and events for programming control effectively, by using these codes, the network controller easily assigns or determines which particular (second) program segment is related to the (first) request program on the server either at the headend or at the set top terminal (Fig. 4b, col. 14/line 65 to col. 16/line 58) using routines (as illustrated in Figs. 11a-11c for requesting and selecting a program segment or an event from the headend to the terminal).

As for claim 3, in view of claim 2, Hendricks discloses wherein determining whether to grant program control to the user further comprises: "ascertaining whether the user is authorized to access the counterpart to the particular program segment from the server", i.e., the system can determine whether to authorize the user request for accessing the counterpart to the storage (the server either at the headend or at the set top terminal) for the related program information (col. 38/line 61 to col. 39/line 48; and Fig. 11c, col. 29/lines 24-47 shows an example of a routine to check for authorizing an order from the user for a particular program).

As for claim 4, in view of claim 1, Hendricks further discloses wherein determining whether to grant program control to the user comprises: "identifying the particular program segment; and ascertaining whether the user is authorized to access the counterpart to the particular program segment from the server", i.e., identifiers are assigned to programs and events

for programming control effectively, by using these codes, the network controller easily assigns or determines which particular (second) program segment is related to the (first) request program on the server either at the headend or at the set top terminal (Fig. 4b, col. 14/line 65 to col. 16/line 58) using routines (as illustrated in Figs. 11a-11c for requesting and selecting a program segment or an event from the headend to the set top terminal).

As for claim 5, in view of claim 1, Hendricks further discloses wherein detecting the request from the user for program control of the particular program segment comprises "receiving a wireless program-control request from a remote control", i.e., wireless program-control request from a remote control for controlling the set top terminal is addressed (Fig. 3, item 900, and col. 12/line 53 to col. 13/line 12 for a remote control).

As for claim 6, in view of claim 1, Hendricks further discloses "comprising granting permanent program control over the particular program segment to the user", i.e., depending on situations, the system can authorize the subscribers to access the particular program permanently such as weekly or monthly basis (col. 39/lines 25-34).

As for claim 7, in view of claim 6, Hendricks inherently discloses "wherein granting permanent program control over the particular program segment to the user comprises storing the particular program segment on the server indefinitely", i.e., because the information related to the particular program segment is stored in a server (or storage) within the cable headend 208 for local programming and local advertisements (Fig. 3, col. 10/lines 5-15) and then transmitted by the program control information signal to the storage at the set top terminal (col. 14/lines 47-64 for a sample of video clip for advertisement for the program or any other program, menu or product information can be sent and stored in the set top terminal, col. 14/line 65 to col. 15/line

38); and as in claim 6, the system can authorize the subscribers to access the particular program permanently such as weekly or monthly basis (col. 39/lines 25-34); it indicates that either the headend or the set top terminal is capable of storing the information indefinitely for the subscriber to access on a weekly basis or a monthly basis.

As for claim 8, in view of claim 6, Hendricks inherently discloses "wherein granting permanent program control over the particular program segment to the user comprises granting permission to the user to copy the particular program segment from the server", i.e., a VCR is connected to the set top terminal and the set top terminal can automatically program or operate the VCR (col. 11/lines 25-38) and as long as the user is a subscriber (authorized user), the user can access to the particular many times they prefer to (col. 39/lines 25-33); thus, it indicates that the system grants permission to the user to copy over the particular program segment from the server (namely, the set top terminal).

As for claim 9, in view of claim 1, Hendricks further discloses "wherein the particular program segment comprises one of a video program and an audio program", i.e., programming are received from various external sources 212 (Fig. 2) including video programs and audio programs (col. 7/line 65 to col. 8/line 3) and from local programming/advertisements with "on the fly programming" such as interactive television services, split screen video and different languages for the same video—means a program with video and audio (col. 10/lines 6-57).

Regarding claim 10, Hendricks discloses "a method for distributing video programming" (Fig. 1, and col. 6/lines 20-48 for a cable delivering system offers to distribute programming) the method comprising:

"storing a set of video program segments on a server", i.e., video program segments are stored in a server (or storage) within the cable headend 208 as for local programming and local advertisements (Fig. 3, col. 10/lines 5-15) and then transmitted by the program control information signal to the storage at the set top terminal (col. 14/lines 47-64 for a sample of video clip for advertisement for the program or any other program, menu or product information can be sent and stored in the set top terminal, col. 14/line 65 to col. 15/line 38);

"preauthorizing a user to have program control over a subset of the video program segments to establish a preauthorization scheme", i.e., the system can determine whether to authorize the user request as "preauthorizing" for accessing the counterpart or the related program information or over a subset of the video program segments (col. 38/line 61 to col. 39/line 48; and Fig. 11c, col. 29/lines 24-47 shows an example of a routine to check for authorizing an order from the user for a particular program as a subset of the video program segments—regarding here as a portion of preview video as discussed earlier in claim 1);

"detecting a request from the user for program control of a particular video program segment; and granting program control to the user of the particular video program segment in accordance with the preauthorization scheme", i.e, the set top terminal served as the server containing data, tracking programs that keeps track the user records and reports all of these data to cable headend via an upstream link (col. 17/lines 24-38).

As for claim 11, in view of claim 10, Hendricks further discloses "wherein the subset of the program segments is the set of program segments", i.e., the subset of an order program segment by using a submenu is the set of program segments because they are related information (col. 38/line 52 to col. 39/line 24).

Application/Control Number: 09/687,139

Art Unit: 2611

As for claim 12, in view of claim 10, Hendricks further discloses "wherein detecting the request from the user for program control of a particular program segment comprises receiving a wireless program-control request", i.e., wireless program-control request from a remote control for the set top terminal is addressed (Fig. 3, item 900, and col. 12/line 53 to col. 13/line 12 for a remote control).

As for claim 13, in view of claim 10, Hendricks further discloses "wherein the server is local to the user", i.e., the set top terminal serving as a server for storage, data tracking, program and menu distribution is considered to be local to the user or at the user's side (Figs. 3, 5a, 6a-6b, and col. 17/lines 24-38).

As for claim 14, in further view of claim 13, Hendricks further discloses "wherein the server is located on a set-top box", i.e., the set top terminal serving as a server can be used for storage of programs from the headend and other menu, any other program information containing video, graphics, program logic and text (col. 11/line 39 to col. 12/line 45 & col. 17/lines 24-38).

Regarding claim 15, Hendricks discloses "a method for distributing programming, the method comprising: transmitting a first set of program segments according to a schedule of programming", i.e., see Figs. 1 & 3, and col. 6/lines 20-48 for a cable delivering system offers to distribute programming; and a first set of program segments transmitting from the headend according to a schedule of programming can be selected by a main menu and then a submenu displaying to a user (see Figs. 22a & 22b, the user can select one program "Discovery channel choice" from a plurality of available programs in Figure 22a, and in Fig. 22b as a program segment of "War birds", not an entire program, can be displaying as a preview to the user with

its schedule programming time at 9:30PM, see col. 38/line 36 to col. 39/line 8 for an option to preview a portion of the program; Fig. 12e and col. 31/lines 30-45 for a preview technique addressed);

"storing a second set of program segments on a server, at least one of the first set of program segments having a counterpart in the second set of program segments", i.e., the corresponding program related to the first program segment (regarding as "a counterpart") which stored on a server will be displaying to the user as the user has an option to order that program or having more information such as advertising or related information about that program segment (col. 39/lines 9-24), and this information is stored in a server (or storage) within the cable headend 208 for local programming and local advertisements (Fig. 3, col. 10/lines 5-15) and then transmitted by the program control information signal to the storage at the set top terminal (col. 14/lines 47-64 for a sample of video clip for advertisement for the program or any other program, menu or product information can be sent and stored in the set top terminal, col. 14/line 65 to col. 15/line 38);

"preauthorizing a user to have program control over program segments on the server to establish a preauthorization scheme", i.e., the system can determine whether to authorize the user request as "preauthorizing" for accessing the counterpart or the related program information or over a subset of the video program segments (col. 38/line 61 to col. 39/line 48; and Fig. 11c, col. 29/lines 24-47 shows an example of a routine to check for authorizing an order from the user for a particular program as a subset of the video program segments—regarding here as a portion of preview video as discussed earlier in claim 1);

"maintaining a database that records user authorizations to program segments on the server; detecting a request from a user for program control of a particular program segment; and determining whether to grant program control to the user in accordance with the preauthorization scheme", i.e., either the server 208 includes a network controller 214 for monitoring and detecting the user requests as well as keeping track of records in authorization or granting program control of program segments on the server or storage of the headend for billing purposes (col. 10/line 23 to col. 11/line 9) or the set top terminal served as the server containing data, detecting, tracking programs that keeps track the user records and reports all of these data to cable headend via an upstream link (col. 17/lines 24-38).

Regarding claim 16, Hendricks discloses "a system for distributing programming" (Fig. 3, and col. 9/line 58 to col. 10/line 42 for an overview of the system), the system comprising:

"a transmitter configured to transmit a first set of program segments according to a program schedule", i.e., signal processor 209 within the cable headend serves as a transmitter in transmitting or distributing programs and program segments to the user via link 216 (Fig. 3, and col. 9/line 65 to col. 10/line 1) and a first set of program segments transmitting from the headend according to a schedule of programming can be selected by a main menu and then a submenu displaying to a user (see Figs. 22a & 22b, the user can select one program "Discovery channel choice" from a plurality of available programs in Figure 22a, and in Fig. 22b as a program segment of "War birds", not an entire program, can be displaying as a preview to the user with its schedule programming time at 9:30PM, see col. 38/line 36 to col. 39/line 8 for an option to preview a portion of the program; Fig. 12e and col. 31/lines 30-45 for a preview technique addressed);

Application/Control Number: 09/687,139

Art Unit: 2611

Page 11

"a server configured to store a second set of program segments, at least one of the first set of program segments having a counterpart in the second set of program segments", i.e., the corresponding program related to the first program segment (regarding as "a counterpart") which stored on a server will be displaying to the user as the user has an option to order that program or having more information such as advertising or related information about that program segment (col. 39/lines 9-24), and this information is stored in a server (or storage) within the cable headend 208 for local programming and local advertisements (Fig. 3, col. 10/lines 5-15) and then transmitted by the program control information signal to the storage at the set top terminal (col. 14/lines 47-64 for a sample of video clip for advertisement for the program or any other program, menu or product information can be sent and stored in the set top terminal, col. 14/line 65 to col. 15/line 38);

"a database configured to record user authorizations to program segments on the server"; i.e., either the headend 208 regarding as a database includes a network controller 214 for monitoring and detecting the user requests as well as keeping track of records in authorization or granting program control of program segments on the storage of the headend for billing purposes (col. 10/line 23 to col. 11/line 9) or the set top terminal served as the database containing data, detecting, tracking programs that keeps track the user records and reports all of these data to cable headend via an upstream link (col. 17/lines 24-38 with illustrations of Fig. 4b, Table A, Table B, Table C of column 15-16 as examples for data storing as a database format).

"a detector configured to detect a request from a user for program control of a particular program segment", i.e., a remote control interface 626 served as a detector in detecting a request from a user for program control of a particular program segment (Fig. 5b/item 626; and Fig. 3, item 900, and col. 12/line 53 to col. 13/line 12 for a remote control); and

"a control system in communication with the transmitter, the server, the database, and the detector, the control system being configured to operate the transmitter, the server, the database, and the detector to provide program control of program segments on the server to the user in accordance with a predetermined authorization scheme", i.e., network controller 214 within the headend 208 correlates the signal processor (regarding as the transmitter), the set top terminal (regarding as the server and contains databases) and the remote control interface (regarding as the detector), and it performs these functions in providing program control of program segments to the user in a timely fashion manner according to a predetermined authorization scheme, for instance, the system can determine whether to authorize the user request as "preauthorizing" for accessing the counterpart or the related program information or over a subset of the video program segments (col. 38/line 61 to col. 39/line 48; and Fig. 11c, col. 29/lines 24-47 shows an example of a routine to check for authorizing an order from the user for a particular program as a subset of the video program segments—regarding here as a portion of preview video as discussed earlier in claim 1);

As for claim 17, in view of claim 16, Hendricks further discloses "wherein the control system is configured to identify the particular program segment and to determine whether the particular program segment has a counterpart stored on the server", i.e., identifiers are assigned to programs and events for programming control effectively, by using these codes, the network

controller easily assigns or determines which particular (second) program segment is related to the (first) request program on the server either at the headend or at the set top terminal (Fig. 4b, col. 14/line 65 to col. 16/line 58) using routines (as illustrated in Figs. 11a-11c for requesting and selecting a program segment or an event from the headend to the terminal).

Page 13

As for claim 18, in view of claim 17, Hendricks further discloses "wherein the control system is further configured to ascertain whether the user is authorized to access the counterpart to the particular program segment from the server in accordance with the predetermined authorization scheme", i.e., the system can determine whether to authorize the user request for accessing the counterpart to the storage (the server either at the headend or at the set top terminal) or the related program information (col. 38/line 61 to col. 39/line 48; and Fig. 11c, col. 29/lines 24-47 shows an example of a routine to check for authorizing an order from the user for a particular program).

As for claim 19, in view of claim 16, Hendricks discloses "wherein the control system is configured to identify the particular program segment and to ascertain whether the user is authorized to access the counterpart to the particular program segment from the server in accordance with the predetermined authorization scheme", i.e., identifiers are assigned to programs and events for programming control effectively, by using these codes, the network controller easily assigns or determines which particular (second) program segment is related to the (first) request program on the server either at the headend or at the set top terminal (Fig. 4b, col. 14/line 65 to col. 16/line 58) using routines (as illustrated in Figs. 11a-11c for requesting and selecting a program segment or an event from the headend to the terminal).

As for claim 20, in view of claim 16, Hendricks further discloses "wherein the particular program segment comprises one of a video program and an audio program", i.e., programming are received from various external sources 212 (Fig. 2) including video programs and audio programs (col. 7/line 65 to col. 8/line 3) and from local programming/advertisements with 'on the fly programming" such as interactive television services, split screen video and different languages for the same video—means a program with video and audio (col. 10/lines 6-57).

Regarding claim 21, Hendricks discloses "a computer-readable storage medium having a computer-readable program embodied therein for directing operation of a programming distribution system including a transmitter; a server; a database; a detector; and a control system configured to provide program control of program segments on the server, the computer-readable program including instructions for operating the programming-distribution system in accordance with the following: transmitting a first set of program segments with the transmitter according to a schedule of programming; storing a second set of program segments on the server, at least one of the first set of program segments having a counterpart in the second set of program segments; maintaining on the database a record of user authorizations to program segments on the server; and detecting a request from a user for program control of a particular program segment" (see claim 16, with menu sequence software and turbo card software and their software routines for operating the entire system (col. 27/line 8 to col. 33/line 26), the system offers a computer-readable storage medium having a computer-readable program embodied therein for directing operation of a programming distribution system as already disclosed above).

As for claim 22, in view of claim 21, Hendricks further discloses wherein the computer-readable program further includes instructions for operating the programming

distribution system in accordance with "determining whether to grant program control to the user", i.e., the system can determine whether to authorize or grant the user request for accessing the system as in Fig. 11c, col. 29/lines 24-47, it shows an example of a routine to check for authorizing an order from the user for a particular program as a subset of the video program segments.

As for claim 23, in view of claim 22, Hendricks further discloses wherein the instructions for operating the programming-distribution system in accordance with determining whether to grant program control to the user include "instructions for identifying the particular program segment; and instructions for determining whether the particular program segment has a counterpart stored on the server", i.e., identifiers are assigned to programs and events for programming control effectively, by using these codes, the network controller easily assigns or determines which particular (second) program segment is related to the (first) request program on the server either at the headend or at the set top terminal (Fig. 4b, col. 14/line 65 to col. 16/line 58) using computer routines with instructions (as illustrated in Figs. 11a-11c for requesting and selecting a program segment or an event from the headend to the terminal).

As for claim 24, in view of claim 23, Hendricks discloses wherein the instructions for operating the programming-distribution system in accordance with determining whether to grant program control to the user further include "instructions for ascertaining whether the user is authorized to access the counterpart to the particular program segment from the server" i.e., the system can determine whether to authorize or grant the user request for accessing the counterpart or the related program information or over a subset of the video program segments (col. 38/line 61 to col. 39/line 48; and Fig. 11c, col. 29/lines 24-47 shows an example of a computer routine

with instructions to check for authorizing an order from the user for a particular program as a subset of the video program segments—regarding here as a portion of preview video as discussed earlier in claim 1).

As for claim 25, in view of claim 21, Hendricks further discloses "wherein the particular program segment comprises one of a video program and an audio program", i.e., programming are received from various external sources 212 (Fig. 2) including video programs and audio programs (col. 7/line 65 to col. 8/line 3) and from local programming/advertisements with "on the fly programming" such as interactive television services, split screen video and different languages for the same video—means a program with video and audio (col. 10/lines 6-57).

As for claim 26, in view of claim 22, Hendricks discloses wherein the instructions for operating the programming-distribution system in accordance with determining whether to grant program control to the user include "instructions for identifying the particular program segment; and instructions for ascertaining whether the user is authorized to access the counterpart to the particular program segment from the server", i.e., identifiers are assigned to programs and events for programming control effectively, by using these codes, the network controller easily assigns or determines which particular (second) program segment is related to the (first) request program on the server either at the headend or at the set top terminal (Fig. 4b, col. 14/line 65 to col. 16/line 58) using computer routines with instructions (as illustrated in Figs. 11a-11c for requesting and selecting a program segment or an event from the headend to the terminal); and Fig. 11c, col. 29/lines 24-47 shows an example of a computer routine with instructions to check for authorizing an order from the user for a particular program as a subset of the video program segments—regarding here as a portion of preview video as discussed earlier in claim 1).

Application/Control Number: 09/687,139

Art Unit: 2611

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

Billock et al. (US. Pat. No.6,314,575 B1 and No. 5,619,249) disclose a telecasting program

service for providing video programs on demand with an interactive interface for facilitating

viewer selection of video programs.

LaRocca et al. (US. Pat. No.6,314,572 B1) and Gordon et al. (US. Pat. No. 6,314,573 B1)

disclose a method and apparatus for providing subscription-on-demand services, dependent

services for an interactive information distribution system.

Hendricks et al. (US Pat. No. 6,463,585 B1) disclose a targeted advertisement using television

delivery systems.

Banker et al. (US. Pat. No. 5,485,221) disclose a subscription television system and terminal for

enabling simultaneous display of multiple services.

7. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314, (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II. 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, V.A., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Page 17

Application/Control Number: 09/687,139 Page 18

Art Unit: 2611

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Krista Kieu-Oanh Bui whose telephone number is (703) 305-0095. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:00 AM to 6:30 PM, with alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Faile, can be reached on (703) 305-4380.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Krista Bui Art Unit 2611 September 18, 2003 KRISTA BUI PATENT EXAMINER

#KuanW